

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8529	
10/590,490	06/25/2007	Chiara Tonelli	2503-1227		
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 01/31/2008 OMPSON		EXAMINER		
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET			WORLEY, CATHY KINGDON		
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON,	VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Inchiverent, vir 22202		·	1638	***************************************	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/31/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/590,490)	TONELLI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Cathy K. W	orley	1638				
7 Period for F	the MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication oid for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no ever will apply and will , cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION It, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)□ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) <u></u> Si								
clo	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4)⊠ CI	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-31</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)∐ CI	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
•	aim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>15-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election red	quirement.					
Application	Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
3.	application from the International Bureau	-		o in this readonal	Clage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			·					
Attachment(s)					·			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice o	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								

10/590,490

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 16, drawn to a construct comprising SEQ ID NO:2.

Group II, claim(s) 17, drawn to a construct comprising SEQ ID NO:3.

Group III, claim(s) 18, drawn to a construct comprising SEQ ID NO:4.

CLAIMS 15, 22-25, AND 29 LINK THE INVENTIONS OF GROUPS I-III

Group IV, claim(s) 20 (in part), drawn to a construct comprising the coding sequences of Osm1.

Application/Control Number:

10/590,490

Art Unit: 1638

Group V, claim(s) 20 (in part), drawn to a construct comprising the coding sequences of Rac1.

Group VI, claim(s) 20 (in part), drawn to a construct comprising the coding sequences of Kat1.

Group VII, claim(s) 20 (in part), drawn to a construct comprising the coding sequences of Ost1.

Group VIII, claim(s) 20 (in part), drawn to a construct comprising the coding sequences of Chl1.

CLAIM 19 LINKS THE INVENTIONS OF GROUPS IV-VIII

Group IX, claim(s) 21, drawn to a construct comprising a nucleic acid that codes for an antisense RNA.

Group X, claim(s) 26-28, 30, and 31, drawn to a method for expression of nucleic acid sequences in a plant.

Application/Control Number:

10/590,490

Art Unit: 1638

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-X do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking groups I-X is a fragment or variant of SEQ ID NO:1 that has promoter activity. Plesch et al teach a nucleic acid for mediating stomatal guard cell specific gene expression (DE19904754, published in 2000, see abstract). The nucleic acid taught by Plesch et al is a "variant" of SEQ ID NO:1. Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of groups I-X does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

Accordingly, Groups I-X are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

3. Claims 15, 22-25, and 29 link the inventions of groups I-III. Claim 19 links the inventions of groups IV-VIII. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claims. Upon the allowance of the linking claims, the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claims depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claims will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicants are advised that if any such claims depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claims are presented in a

application/Control Number:

10/590,490

Art Unit: 1638

continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant applications. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP 804.01.

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Application/Control Number:

10/590,490

Art Unit: 1638

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of an invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the

Page 7

Application/Control Number:

10/590,490

Art Unit: 1638

inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cathy K. Worley whose telephone number is (571) 272-8784. The examiner has a variable schedule but can normally be reached on M-F 10:00 4:00 with additional variable hours before 10:00 and after 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on (571) 272-0975.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/590,490

Art Unit: 1638

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cathy K. Worley Patent Examiner Art Unit 1638

CKW